TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

IN THE MATTER OF:

MB DOCKET NO. 04-191

SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

FCC-OALJ RCD
Jun 22 11 11 M '05



DATE OF HEARING: JUNE 8, 2005

VOLUME: 5

PLACE OF HEARING: WASHINGTON, D.C.

PAGES: 785-1053

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C.

IN THE MATTER OF:

SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

MB Docket No. 04-191

For Renewal of License for | Facility ID No. 58830 Station KALW(FM), San Francisco, California

|| File No. BRED-19970801YA

Volume 5

Hearing Room TW A363 $445~12^{\text{th}}$ Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Wednesday, June 8, 2005 9:15 a.m.

BEFORE:

JUDGE RICHARD L. SIPPEL

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the San Francisco Unified School District:

MARISSA G. REPP, ESQ.

MARTIN ALEXANDER PRICE, ESQ.

ROBERT DUNCAN, ESQ.

of: Hogan & Hartson, LLP

Columbia Square

555 13th Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

(202) 637-6845

On Behalf of the Enforcement Bureau:

DANA E. LEAVITT, ESQ.
of: Division of Investigations
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1317

JAMES W. SHOOK, ESQ.

ALSO PRESENT:

ANGELA MILLER, Deputy General Counsel, San Francisco Unified School District

NEAL R. GROSS

I-N-D-E-X

WITNESS

<u>DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS</u>

William C. Helgeson

788

<u>EXHIBITS</u>

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>

MARK RECD

EB12A

Model Program Issue

915 915

Start Time: 9:13 a.m.

Lunch: 12:00 p.m. - 1:29 p.m.

End Time: 6:02 p.m.

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	9:13 a.m.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: We're picking up where we
4	left off yesterday. Mr. Helgeson is on the stand,
5	and, Mr. Helgeson, do you understand that you are
6	still under oath, sir?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Mr. Shook, you may
9	proceed.
10	BY MR. SHOOK:
1.1	Q Mr. Helgeson, I'd like you to refer to
12	your direct testimony, which is SFUSD Exhibit T-2.
13	This is just going to provide a little background for
14	the questions that I'm about to ask.
15	On page 1 of your testimony, in response
16	to the question, "As you are aware, during the course
17	of this proceeding regarding the renewal of KALW
18	station license, a question has been raised about the
19	veracity of the testimony at the deposition on
20	September 28, 2004. Is there a general statement you
21	would like to make in that regard?"
22	About two-thirds of the way down, or

halfway down, in response, on page 1, at line 23, you state, among other things -- I'll begin at line 22, "I have also witnessed cycles of staff discontent regarding certain management decisions. In fact, I think it was the unhappiness of several staff members with management and the direction the station was taking that prompted the establishment of the Golden Gate Public Radio (GGPR) group that instigated the license challenge."

Then again, on page 7, in response to the question, "What is Golden Gate Public Radio?", you state, "I became aware of Golden Gate Public Radio (GGPR) in 1997. I think Jeff was the first to tell me that the organization was formed by Jason Lopez, Dierdre Kennedy, Mel Baker and probably others after their proposal to the Board of Education to take over the station was rebuffed. The group consisted of people who had been involved in the station in one way or another but who were by then disgruntled and unhappy with various aspects of the station management and operation or with the Board of Education."

Now, with that as background, did you ever

NEAL R. GROSS

1	talk to Dave Evans about why GGPR was established?
2	A I don't recall having a conversation with
3	Dave Evans about GGPR and why it was established.
4	Q Did you ever talk to Jason Lopez about why
5	GGPR was established?
6	A I never talked to Jason Lopez about why
7	GGPR was established.
8	Q Did you ever talk to Dierdre Kennedy about
9	why GGPR was established?
10	A I never spoke with Dierdre Kennedy about
11	why GGPR was established.
12	Q Did you ever talk to Mel Baker about why
13	GGPR was established?
14	A I didn't speak with Mel Baker about why
15	GGPR was established, and he never spoke to me about
16	it.
17	Q So what was the source of your opinion on
18	why GGPR was established?
19	A It my opinion about it came out of
20	conversations with Jeff Ramirez regarding GGPR and
21	also with and that was basically, it was from a
22	from conversations with Jeff Ramirez in the time of
1	II

1	the license challenge.
2	Q Did Jeff Ramirez ever relate to you that
3	he had spoken to Dave Evans about why GGPR was
4	established?
5	A No, I think that given that the time of
6	the license challenge was very much the same time of
7	Dave Evans having his accident, automobile accident
8	that he was involved in, I don't know I don't
9	Jeff Ramirez never told me that he had had a
LO	conversation with Dave Evans about that.
11	Q Did Jeff Ramirez ever tell you that he had
L2	a conversation with Jason Lopez about why GGPR was
L3	established?
14	A Jeff Ramirez never spoke to me about any
15	conversation he might have had with Jason Lopez about
L6	it.
L7	Q Did Mr. Ramirez ever tell you that he had
18	talked to Dierdre Kennedy about why GGPR was
19	established?
20	A I don't remember Jeff ever telling me he
21	had a conversation about any conversation he might
22	have had with Dierdre Kennedy regarding GGPR.

1	Q Did Mr. Lopez ever tell you excuse me,
2	did Mr. Ramirez ever tell you that he had had a
3	conversation with Mel Baker about why GGPR was
4	established?
5	A I don't recall Jeff telling me about any
6	conversations he might have had with, with Mr. Lopez
7	about GGPR.
8	Q Did there come a time when you became
9	aware of a KALW(FM) task force report that discussed,
LO	among other things, the need for structural changes in
11	the management of KALW?
12	A The school district in, I would say, the
13	time before Jeff arrived at the station established a,
14	what they called a task force, a committee to analyze
15	KALW and what future directions it should take.
16	Q Did you have any role in that task force?
L7	A I was not a member of the task force. To
18	the best of my knowledge, at some point I maybe was
19	asked my opinion about certain matters by the
20	coordinator of the task force, I think. But that's my
21	only recollection of it.

Do you recall what you were asked about?

1	A At this time I it was I don't recall
2	what I might have been asked about KALW at the time.
3	Q Do you recall what opinions you rendered?
4	A At this time I really don't remember what
5	opinions I had about whatever I was asked.
6	Q I would like to read to you something from
7	the July, August, September, although that's not
8	entirely clear from this document, 1996 KALW program
9	guide that first begins on page 279 of EB Exhibit 44,
10	and the part that I want to read to you is from page
11	281, is from a manager's notes.
12	It begins, "This is my last communication
13	as general manager. When I was appointed last June it
14	was with the understanding that a national search
15	would take place for this position and the search is
16	now in progress. Serving as KALW's manager this past
17	year has been by turns challenging, difficult,
18	rewarding, painful, enlightening. By way of saying
19	goodbye, herewith a summary of the major events of the
20	past year", and then there a number of bullets.
21	The fifth bullet reads "Task force
22	recommendations regarding a revitalized mission and

1	governing structure for the station. This latter is
2	of utmost importance for the future and well being of
3	KALW. For the first time, the station will have its
4	own board of directors who will oversee operations,
5	assist in fund raising and serve as watchdog, advocate
6	and advisor in the years to come. It's a giant step
7	forward made possible by the dedication and commitment
8	of an 11-person task force."
9	Then it goes on from there and it's signed
10	by Rose L. Levinson, general manager. I take it you
11	were aware of Ms. Levinson's manager's notes at or
12	about the time that she made them?
13	A I would have been aware of the notes at
14	the time, yes.
15	Q Did you agree with Ms. Levinson's
16	assessment of the importance of the task force's
17	recommendation regarding station governance?
18	A At that time, which was we're looking
19	at about 1996 there I was aware of the task force
20	and I was aware that I was open, I believe, to
21	my opinion was that I was open to seeing things
22	improve in some way. I didn't have any specific I

1 recall at this time that Ι agreed 2 everything in the task force or even that, even that 3 the task force had a specific plan. Only that things could be improved, and I 4 was -- I'm certainly in favor of looking at anything 5 6 that might improve the station. That would -- that 7 was as much as I signed on to, if you want to say, 8 regarding the task force. 9 What things did you think could benefit 10 from improvement? What did you have in mind? 11 Α I'm, I'm pausing certainly because I don't 12 really remember the -- it's been a while since that 13 task force brought out its -- finished its work. don't particularly remember being that interested or 14 having much of an opinion about the governance of the, 15 of the radio station, or that it should have -- these 16 17 matters about its own board of directors and so on. 18 I was certainly more interested -- given my day-to-day duties as regarding the finances of the 19 20 radio station, my interest probably was more in better 21 fund- raising and development that they probably

brought out as far as, as far as conclusions.

Q Did you understand there to be any
relationship between a change in the governance of the
station and how fundraising would work?
A At the time, I didn't it didn't I
didn't see that there was a, there was a, that there
was a connection between the two necessarily. Just
that they, the task force, focused on governance, it
focused on a number of points, not necessarily that
they all were connected.
Q Excuse me. Did there come a time when you
signed a declaration to support an opposition to the
license challenge filed by Golden Gate Public Radio?
A In January of 1998 I signed a declaration
as part of our opposition to Golden Gate's challenge
to the license that was submitted as part of that
document.
Q Is SFUSD Exhibit 4, page 74, a copy of
that declaration?
MR. DUNCAN: Mr. Shook, did you say page
74, is that right?
MR. SHOOK: Right. I think there's a
second page, so it would be 74 and 75.

1	MR. DUNCAN: Yes. Thank you.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is this a SFUSD exhibit?
3	MR. DUNCAN: It is Exhibit No. 4.
4	MR. SHOOK: Pages 74 and 75.
5	THE WITNESS: Okay, I have it.
6	BY MR. SHOOK:
7	Q Could you please describe for us how the
8	declaration came to be prepared?
9	A This was a document, as I recall, that was
10	prepared by our attorneys at the time out of their
11	work in preparing the response to the Golden Gate
12	Public Radio challenge to the license.
13	Q Did a declaration come to you already
14	completed and you were simply requested to sign it?
15	A This document the declaration was
16	prepared by our attorneys and I was given it to review
17	and then, then asked to sign it if I I was given
18	the opportunity to sign it, which I did on January
19	in January of 1998.
20	Q Were you given a draft or simply a final
21	version?
22	A At this time I can't recall if there was

1	a draft of it that I reviewed and sent back with any
2	changes. I don't recall right now.
3	Q You don't recall editing the declaration
4	in any way?
5	A I don't have any recollection of review
6	of editing it in any way. I may have, but I don't
7	recall.
8	Q I'm looking through the bills that the
9	Sanchez Law Firm sent during the January 1998 period,
10	and I was wondering if you could help me locate
11	anything in here that would indicate that there was a
12	draft that had been sent to you for any kind of
13	editing, so I'm afraid you're going to have to look
14	through the Exhibit 7.
15	A Okay.
16	Q If you wish, you can begin as early as
17	page 5. And I believe the relevant material would
18	extend through at least page 8.
19	MR. DUNCAN: Your Honor, I believe we used
20	yours yesterday your Exhibit 7 yesterday. Do we
21	have a new Exhibit 7?
22	MS. LEAVITT: We used the court

T	reporter's.
2	MR. DUNCAN: Ah, that's what we used.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: You're going to go through
4	pages 5 to 7?
5	MR. SHOOK: I would just like the witness
6	to review them and tell me whether there's anything
7	there that can shed light on this.
8	MR. DUNCAN: Five through seven? Is that
9	what you said?
10	MR. SHOOK: Five through eight.
11	MR. DUNCAN: Five through eight.
12	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went
13	off the record at 9:33 a.m. and resumed at 9:41 a.m.)
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead.
15	MR. SHOOK: You've had a chance to review
16	the bills.
17	THE WITNESS: I've just looked them over,
18	and I think this is the first time I've seen these
19	pieces of paper, but yes, I've just reviewed them.
20	BY MR. SHOOK:
21	Q Do you have any understanding as to how
22	this document came to be prepared in terms of the base

1	material that was used to put it together?
2	A How it came to be prepared?
3	Q Let me put it differently. Did you have -
4	- do you have any understanding as to whether invoices
5	from the Sanchez Law Firm were used to put this
6	document together?
7	A I don't I'm not sure how the Sanchez
8	Law Firm puts its invoices together, but
9	Q Didn't you testify yesterday that the
.0	Sanchez Law Firm invoices would come to you for
L1	review?
L2	A Oh, yes, I did do that, yes. But this
.3	they didn't look in this exact format. They didn't
_4	I think there, there are I've seen copies of
.5	invoices, but
L6	Q Well, focusing on focusing first on
.7	item 2366, which appears at EB 7, page 5, it's the
L8	last item. I'll just I'll read it to you and then
L9	if you wish, of course, you may
20	A Okay.
21	Q Read it over yourself. It says 1/2/1998.
22	The user is Sanchez. Billed for a conference, and it

1	reads "Conference with Mr. Helgeson re: item in San
2	Francisco newspaper re: Golden Gate Public Radio", and
3	the amount of time that Mr. Sanchez billed for is .17
4	hours.
5	Do you recall having a telephone
6	conversation with Mr. Sanchez on or about January 2,
7	1998 concerning a newspaper article about Golden Gate
8	Public Radio and a petition to deny?
9	A Well, I certainly had telephone
10	conversations with Mr. Sanchez. I don't have any
11	specific recollection of that particular telephone
12	conversation.
13	Q Now, looking through the bills, the next
14	time I see your name come up, or any reference that
15	would likely pertain to you, isn't until S, excuse me,
16	EB Exhibit 7, page 7, item 2609. The date is January
17	14, 1998. The lawyer involved is Jenkins. I take it
18	that's Susan Jenkins?
19	A I would assume that's what Jenkins is,
20	yes.
21	Q She spent ten hours doing a variety of
22	things, and I'll just read through what it was.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	"Conferences with witnesses and prepare declarations
2	for Ramirez, Palacios, Perez, Helgeson and Moon.
3	Prepare notes to Mr. Ramirez and Mr. Moon. Fax draft
4	affidavits to Mr. Ramirez and Palacios. Sent fax and
5	FedEx of declaration to Mr. Moon. Follow-up telephone
6	conversations on contents of declarations, documents
7	and strategy."
8	On or about January 14, 1998, did you have
9	multiple conversations with Susan Jenkins about your
10	declaration?
11	A Around that period of time I recall having
12	conversations with Susan Jenkins, but I don't have
13	specific recollection of January 14, 1998.
14	Q Did she send you a draft affidavit to
15	review?
16	A I don't recall if she sent me a draft to
17	review.
18	Q The next reference I see where your name
19	comes up is item 2610. It appears on EB Exhibit 7,
20	page 8. The date is 1/15/1998. Again it involves
21	Susan Jenkins. Ten hours were billed. The item
22	reads. "Finalized language of Ramirez. Palacios.

1	Helgeson and Perez declarations and sent by FedEx to
2	client with cover notes, legal research re:
3	communications law issues related to opposition,
4	discussion with Mr. Sanchez re: GGPR background and
5	problems regarding service, drafted declaration for
6	Mr. Sanchez, drafted portions of opposition."
7	Is your recollection that you received by,
8	or received from the Sanchez Law Firm a final version
9	of your declaration to sign?
10	A I do recall getting a declaration to sign
11	that I was expected to not expected, but asked
12	to sign. And this is this document here is that
13	document that I was asked to sign, and I signed it.
14	Q Did you ever tell anyone that there was
15	anything in the declaration that you believed needed
16	changing?
17	A I would say that I read the document
18	before I signed it, and nothing at that time stood out
19	to me as something that I felt needed to be changed
20	before I signed it. I certainly would have if, if I
21	felt that it was incorrect.
22	Q Very good. Now, in the first two

1	sentences of paragraph 3 of your declaration, which is
2	SFUSD Exhibit 4, page 74, it reads as follows
3	A Which I'm sorry, which paragraph again?
4	Q Three.
5	A Paragraph 3?
6	Q "I have responsibility for maintaining a
7	four-drawer file cabinet in my work area located near
8	my desk at KALW's office. The third drawer of that
9	file cabinet contains KALW's public file."
10	Did you mean to suggest that at the time
11	of the declaration you had responsibility for
12	maintaining all four drawers of the file cabinet?
13	A What I, what I meant by this, that
14	paragraph, I believe if you read the entire paragraph
15	is part of that is, makes it a complete statement.
16	I was setting off I did say in the beginning, in
17	the first sentence of the paragraph that I maintain a
18	four-drawer file cabinet.
19	I described I then went on to describe
20	what was in one of the file cabinets one of the
21	drawers and then I go on in that paragraph to
22	describe the other three drawers, what is going

1 what is -- what was in the other three drawers of the 2 file cabinet. It was a descriptive paragraph in that 3 4 It didn't -- in no way was I saying I was sense. 5 responsible for what was in all four drawers in that paragraph. That is basically -- that's just a -- and 6 7 then it goes -- of course, it goes on into the next 8 paragraph and the next paragraph. It's really all 9 part of the whole document. It was really to -- as a 10 preface to what what goes on the was 11 declaration. 12 I will note that the, the last sentence at paragraph 3 reads, "Only I and my supervisors have 13 14 authorized access to these other three drawers." 15 take it the other three drawers that you're referring to there are the ones that maintain the business files 16 17 of, of the station. 18 Α They may -- in those other three drawers, 19 again, I -- the first sentence discusses the -- that 20 it's a four-drawer file cabinet. The second sentence, 21 what's in the third drawer.

Then the next sentence, what's in the

1	other three drawers, and then I made a statement
2	there, obviously making I then I'm saying I and
3	my supervisors have access I have access and my
4	supervisors have access to the private files in those
5	three drawers.
6	Q Now, in terms of having authorized access
7	to the public file drawer, who, who would have
8	authorized access to the public file drawer?
9	A Well, I, I always assumed since it is a
10	public file drawer that and I assumed, and I go on
11	in my next I always assumed it was the general
12	manager who had the responsibility for that file. And
13	so I don't didn't know that there was any
14	authorized person who was in charge of determining who
15	had access to the public file drawer.
16	Q All right. So at the time of your
17	declaration, did you mean to suggest that you had
18	responsibility for maintaining the drawer that
19	contained the station's public inspection file?
20	A That certainly was never my intent, and I
21	think as I go on in the next paragraph there I was
22	making I was discussing where we discussed the

1 public file drawer, it shows that I assisted, and it 2 was the general manager, Jeff -- I assisted Jeff 3 Ramirez regarding the public file drawer. 4 But -- and that's the way, that was my way 5 of certainly making note that I assumed that Jeff 6 Ramirez was responsible for that drawer and the 7 content of it. I think I say that in, what is it, 8 paragraph, in paragraph 4, it says, "I am aware of and 9 have assisted with Jeff Ramirez", I'm paraphrasing 10 here, Jeff Ramirez's -- assisting and I was assisting 11 general manager Jeff Ramirez. 12 We'll get to paragraph 4 in a bit. 13 Α Okay. But in no way in paragraph 3 was I 14 -- I was just making -- I was using paragraph 3 to 15 distinguish between private, my private files in that, in that four-drawer file cabinet and that one drawer 16 that I didn't consider my private files. 17 18 0 Now. in your direct testimony, SFUSD 19 Exhibit T-2, page 9, one question that is posed is, 20 "What did you mean when you said in your 1998 21 declaration that you were responsible for maintaining 22 the file cabinet in which the public inspection file

was kept?"

I'll read you the entire answer. "I can't
say now so many years later exactly what I meant at
the time, but as I've said, the entire file cabinet
was and has long been in the area of my cubicle. I
used the other drawers in the cabinet occasionally and
was aware that the PIF was also kept there. While the
choice of words 'responsible for maintaining' were not
mine, I did not object to them. I understood it to
refer to the file cabinet itself, not to a specific
drawer, and I assumed it related to my statement that
I have not given GGPR permission to take or copy
documents from the file cabinet in my area. I
certainly did not mean to imply by signing the
declaration that I was or had ever been responsible
for keeping the PIF up to date. Ernie knew I had not
been asked to do so and had not done so."

In the context of that answer, Ernie means Ernest Sanchez, correct?

A Yes, Ernie is Ernest Sanchez in that answer.

Q How did Mr. Sanchez know that you had not